

Programming Probabilistic Structural Analysis for Parallel Processing Computers

Robert H. Sues* and Heh-Chyun Chen†

Applied Research Associates, Inc., Raleigh, North Carolina 27165
and

Christos C. Chamis‡ and Papu L. N. Murthy§

NASA Lewis Research Center, Cleveland, Ohio 44135

Abstract

SIGNIFICANT advances have taken place in probabilistic structural analysis (PSA) over the last two decades. Much of this research has focused on basic theory development and the development of approximate analytic solution methods. Practical application of PSA methods has been hampered, however, by their computationally intense nature. Solutions of PSA problems require repeated analyses of structures that are often large and exhibit nonlinear and/or dynamic response behavior. PSA methods, however, are all inherently parallel and ideally suited to implementation on parallel processing computers.

This synoptic¹ summarizes the results of the first phase of research to develop a parallel processing system that can significantly reduce the computational times for large-scale PSA problems. Parallel processing improves the speed with which a computational task is done by breaking it into subtasks and executing as many as possible of these subtasks simultaneously. A summary of the principal ideas in parallel processing and a survey of currently available architecture appears in Sues et al.² We identify here the multiple levels of parallelism in PSA, describe the development of a parallel stochastic finite element code, and present results of an example application. Although the example application achieved both excellent speedups and greater than 95% efficiency, we conclude that achieving massive parallelism will require overcoming limitations of current parallel architectures. New hardware/software strategies must be developed that keep large numbers of processors busy while minimizing memory requirements and interprocessor communication. Hence, we provide generic hardware and software recommendations for achieving large-scale parallel PSA implementation.

Contents

Parallelism in Probabilistic Structural Mechanics

Probabilistic structural mechanics problems are inherently parallel, exhibiting several levels of parallelism. There are two macroscale levels of parallelism: top level parallelism results from parallelism associated with the probabilistic aspects of the problem; and lower level parallelism results from the structural mechanics aspects. There are also many levels of microscale parallelism associated with the structural mechanics aspects of the problem, including both concurrency and vectorization. These sources of parallelism are described in detail in Sues et al.²

McPAP: A Parallel Monte-Carlo Simulation Code for PSA Problems

To demonstrate the feasibility of implementing a PSA code on a parallel processing computer, and to study the speedups and efficiencies obtainable, we developed and implemented a parallel stochastic finite element code on an Alliant FX/80. The Alliant FX/80 is a shared-memory parallel processing computer with eight 64-bit vector pipeline processors. The code developed for this effort, McPAP, employs the Monte-Carlo (M-C) simulation method since it is the method most readily adapted to the parallel processing environment. M-C simulation is also the method of choice for many PSA applications (e.g., when the number of problem random variables is large, when only the first few statistical moments of the response are of interest, and when multiple performance functions must be evaluated—i.e., multiple response values for the entire cumulative distribution function, multiple structure locations, multiple failure modes, etc.). Current and future parallel processing developments have the potential to make M-C simulation a very practical PSA method.

In McPAP, major parts of the code have been optimized for concurrency by grouping them into subroutines declared to be recursive. By declaring a subroutine to be recursive, unique storage is allocated for the subroutine's local variables each time the subroutine is executed (conversely, variables passed through the argument list or in a common block are treated as shared variables). Within each subroutine, the code is vectorized, adding an additional level of parallelism to maximize efficiency. However, within these subroutines automatic compiler concurrency is suppressed.

The main parallelized code segment is for the execution of the simulation loop. Procedures including sampling, performance function evaluation, and scoring are all controlled by one master subroutine that is declared to be recursive. The repetitive executions of this subroutine are dynamically allocated to the multiple processors so that as soon as a processor is free a new simulation history is allocated to that processor. This continues until all simulation histories have been allocated. We emphasize that histories are allocated only until the last one is *begun*. This strategy minimizes processor idle time without biasing results. A slightly more efficient strategy would be to continue allocation until the last history is complete. This strategy is not used, however, since it would bias results to shorter executing histories.

For parallel implementation, all random variables must be defined as local variables so that a unique copy of these variables will be maintained for each concurrently executing subprogram. For large structures this can put a heavy demand on available memory, requiring alternative strategies. Conversely, deterministic problem variables are passed through the subroutine argument list or maintained in a common block, to be shared by all concurrently executing processes, in order to minimize memory requirements and maximize computational efficiency.

In direct Monte-Carlo simulation, evaluation of the performance function is independent from trial to trial, however, generation of random numbers (a recursive procedure) and

Presented as Paper 91-0920 at the AIAA 32nd Structures, Structural Dynamics, and Materials Conference, Baltimore, MD, April 8-10, 1991; received June 13, 1991; synoptic received Dec. 20, 1991; accepted for publication Dec. 28, 1991. Full paper available from AIAA library, 555 W. 57th Street, New York, NY 10019. Copyright © 1992 by the authors. Published by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Inc., with permission.

